

indicia such that when the central computer recognizes that an identifying indicia was received which matches another identifying indicia received earlier in time and within a certain period of time, the central computer calculates the average speed of an alleged vehicle which passed between the at least two locations, compares the maximum average permissible velocity with the average velocity of the vehicle, and determines whether the vehicle exceeded the maximum average permissible velocity between the at least two locations; and

[The system of claim 3] wherein after a predetermined amount of time, the identifying indicia which does not indicate a violation are deleted from memory.

#### REMARKS

Applicant has cancelled rejected claims and has followed the Examiner's suggestions with respect to claim 9. Claims 1,2, 6, 7, 9 and 11 are therefore in condition for allowance. Acknowledgement of this fact is respectfully requested.

\* \* \*

#### Conclusions

Applicant's invention as now claimed is patentably distinct from the prior art and thus claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9 and 11 should be passed to allowance. In light of this fact, Applicant respectfully requests the issuance of the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due.

The amendments made herein are made solely for the purpose of advancing the examination of the application and, unless otherwise specifically stated, are not to be construed as an admission that the claimed invention requires such amendments to be patentably distinct over the prior art.